

**MINUTES OF THE PARRETT FULL BOARD MEETING HELD AT BRADBURY HOUSE ON TUESDAY
27 JANUARY 2026 AT 2.00PM**

Attendance:			
Elected Members: Tony Bradford (C) Will Barnard Roger Barrington Mark Heywood Colin Passmore David Vigar Rebecca Horsington James Winslade John Perrin Anthony Betty Rob House Jon Rowe	Appointed Members: Cllr Mike Caswell Donna Gowler Stuart Kingham Julian Taylor Cllr Alan Bradford Cllr Liz Leavy Cllr Hilary Bruce Cllr Mike Stanton	Officers: Mr I Sturdy Mrs M Hammond Mr M Wall Mrs C Murray Mrs V Martin Mr P Brewin Mrs K Carleton	Public:
Approved Apologies:			
	Cllr Gwil Wren Cllr Norman Cavill Cllr Lance Duddridge	Mr R King	
Non-Approved Apologies:			
	Cllr Dave Loveridge		

Agenda Item:		
1. Attendance & Apologies	The meeting opened at 14.01 The Chair welcomed the new members Rob House & Jon Rowe and thanked them for joining the board. Apologies received from Gwil Wren, Norman Cavill	
2. Items additional to the Agenda	MH advised that LSIDB have offered to host the SWADA Spring Meeting on Weds 03 June. No objections. Staff introduced themselves to the new Board members. CP would like an update on Bristol Port project. The Chair would like a current flooding update at end of meeting.	
3. Declarations of Interest.	Copies of Code of Conduct and Declaration Forms were circulated at meeting for all members to complete and return to KC.	
4. Members of the public	None	
5. Minutes of the Parrett Full Board Meeting held	“that the minutes of the Full Board meeting held on 4 November 2025 are signed as a true and correct record of the meeting held”. Proposed: Stuart Kingham Seconded: Anthony Betty All in favour	RESOLUTION 01

<p>6 Matters Arising</p>	<p>P4 item 6: David Vigar (DV) advised that sheet piling is all remedied. The Chair advised that the ADA Chairs meeting resolution to form a T&F group has not been actioned. He would like to send a response as this was voted for by the Chairs. IDS noted from the ADA Gazette, that this has been pushed to the Rural Flood Resilience Task Force. We will follow up on the resolution.</p> <p>The Chair agreed Somerset is very visible thanks to the Boards' work, but doesn't want it watered down. People are anxious about main river maintenance and current conditions are a timely reminder. The time has come to have discussions about the finance and resources needed to carry out additional work.</p> <p>P5 Stuart Kingham asked if taking people to court has worked. MH advised this is imminent.</p> <p>P7 Colin Passmore (CP) advised nothing has been done at Dunster apart from by the IDB. Local flood group are meeting County tomorrow. Attenuation ponds have not been cleaned for years and Morrisons have not done theirs either. IDS noted this is an ongoing issue which we continue to press. Mike Stanton (MS) asked who we have pressed at County as he will follow up. James Divall is speaking tomorrow at the flood group. IDS advised it will be Neil Ogilvie. He will forward email.</p> <p>Review of Actions:</p> <p>P/20251104-01 Check SRA constitution re membership. IDS has spoken to David Mitchell. There is no specific requirement, however consistency with the Axe Brue would mean Chair & Vice Chair. Rebecca can step in as a deputy.</p> <p>P/20251104-02 Circulate register of interest forms – complete.</p> <p>P/20251104-03 Write to non-attenders. A letter has been drafted. IDS advised that Cllr Lance Duddridge sent apologies due to a clash with Homes in Sedgemoor.</p> <p>P/20251104-04 Contact Electoral Services for a replacement appointed member. Officers are actively pursuing.</p> <p>P/20251104-05 Meet with Council to reiterate the importance of the watercourse at Morrisons and other locations in Minehead. See above.</p> <p>Matt Wall (MW) advised Rob King has been in contact and will meet in spring to see if they can use our contractors whilst on site. CP thinks there will be more attenuation ponds, but current ones are clogged. IDS is not sure the ponds are in the right location. CP explained IDB rhynes go past the industrial estate and we may be blamed despite doing our work.</p>	<p>ACTION P/20260127-01</p> <p>ACTION P/20260127-02</p>
<p>7 Governance / Updates</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Policies following review: IDS explained these will be picked up by a Task & Finish subcommittee with delegated authority to complete these with Parrett Board members: Stuart Kingham, Anthony Betty, Tony Bradford <p>Proposed: Colin Passmore Seconded: Will Barnard All in favour</p> <p>MS also sits on the committee on behalf of Axe Brue.</p> <p>Policies to be reviewed at the first meeting: Whistleblowing, Anti Fraud, Gifts & Hospitality, Bullying & Harassment, Finance, Scheme of Delegation x 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EA Consultation on Main River Withdrawal – Latest Letter from EA. (Enclosure 2): IDS attended WRFCC on 22 Jan where a paper was presented on EA withdrawal and notice, with a list of watercourses they are consulting on to withdraw from. Consultation is not notice so we can provide feedback and suggestions although it is unlikely to change the withdrawal decision. The Chair noted that the consultation should have taken place before the original notices. If nothing will change, why bother. IDS felt that 	<p>RESOLUTION 02</p>

	<p>not responding might be seen as supporting the decision. Donna Gowler (DG) felt we may be able to influence the sites being withdrawn from. The Chair noted the EA don't necessarily maintain what is in their programme. David Vigar has letter dated 24/01 as riparian owner advising him to do own maintenance.</p> <p>IDS suggested it is the same letter as 12/12. DV felt it is different. IDS to look at after the meeting.</p> <p>IDS explained we will continue to pursue and express disappointment at the decision, thank the EA for the consultation, explain why we disagree and advise what we see as priority. The Axe Brue agreed to put forward an expression of interest for SRA funding. Iain's APPG presentation suggested a 3 year transition.</p> <p>Rebecca Horsington (RH) noted that our original response demanded consultation, so we need to engage and be seen to be doing all we can.</p> <p>The Chair feels we should channel energy into a route from A to B to pick up maintenance. A policy to de-main and carry out work under IDB rules, rather than the EA's would need a system drawn up by Defra with funding put aside to do the work. This needs to start soon to get resources and costing in place. WB agreed that local delivery provides substantially better value. CP also agreed and suggested if we do the most at risk areas first we can stretch the time. He asked if there are any of our watercourses that can be done less than annually. He foresees a funding deficit, but even maintenance every 3 years is an improvement</p> <p>The Chair suggested starting with 2 main rivers in each Board area then building capability.</p> <p>IDS was encouraged showed his final slide from the APPG. No more national funding will be forthcoming and local funding for local choices is more likely. Council tax and drainage rates are the mechanisms. Continuing maintenance until alternatives are in place is the bone of contention. This was received positively by MP's and he would suggest pushing in this direction. We still need to detail capability.</p> <p>WB was unsure about de-maining. If local not sure why would remain as central.</p> <p>The Chair noted that under PSCA permits make the work too expensive, and outweigh costs of doing the work.</p> <p>IDS will draft a response to the consultation and share it with the 3 Chairs before sending.</p> <p>MS agreed with the idea of the IDB taking over these works and noted that the £3 million from the SRA is limited and is much better spent via the IDB's than the EA. He cited the example of the bank raising which was done at a quarter of the cost quoted by the EA. The EA are not applying for grants next year as they don't have resource or equipment to do the work due to their contractor framework. He also noted that what happens further up catchment is a major cause of the flood issues.</p> <p>IDS to continue to chase the Task & Finish resolution with ADA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk Management (Discussion/Update) <p>IDS noted the continuing challenges around staff retention, and succession planning as well as the ageing workforce. It would be wise to start discussions about what the Board would like to do going forward, however he was just highlighting the matter at this stage. The Chair noted that will be discussed at the Management Committee with all 3 Boards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible SRA Additional Funding for 2026-27 - see above expression of interest • Subcommittees overview: <p>There remains one vacancy on Finance & Works for an appointed member.</p>	<p>ACTION P/20260127- 03</p> <p>ACTION P/20260127- 04</p> <p>ACTION P/20260127- 05</p>
--	--	---

<p>8. Finance Report</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly Reconciliation of Bank Statements: these are all reconciled to 31/12/25 with no issues. SK has checked and signed. End of Year Financial Report & Proposed Budget FY25/26 (Appendices A, B and C) <p>Maggie Hammond (MH) advised that the position at end Nov shows a surplus as expected and the forecast figure is healthy. The 2026/7 budget was discussed at F&W at length. Much of the outstanding work has been completed and planned and a relatively small increase in rates was proposed although this will need to be addressed if we take on more work. MH then talked members through the Plan B Budget for illustrative purposes only, which includes an assumption of the cost to do some EA work. If we raised this next year, the penny rate would go up 38.82%. IDS clarified this is just what EA are not currently doing, and they could withdraw further, but it is only being discussed for illustrative purposes. Anthony Betty (AB) noted this is more of a worry as would fall to taxpayers and would require a Section 114 from the Council. CP also queried contractor capability and thought we may need to look at what is done each year and tackle the worst areas first. MS thought if we gradually increased our capability over 3-5 years we may be able to bring more in line in each year. CP felt we need to be careful not to hit the same problems as the Council with layers of management of contractors. Cost increases with bureaucracy, IDB's can manage it better. WB felt the direction of travel is correct, but capacity building is needed. Small steps in building it up would be sensible. Mike Caswell (MC) felt it was transferring responsibility but not the money. People of Somerset pay in their rates and council tax. They are paying in parish councils too so are paying twice. If the EA want us to do it they should transfer the funding. IDS clarified again that Plan B was to give visibility to appointed members. We are not asking to consider voting on it, just stimulate debate and show the burden and take thinking back to the Council. The Chair reiterated the importance of handing assets over in a good state.</p> <p>MH went back to her budget which allows us to continue with increase to Board schemes.</p> <p>The Parrett IDB approves the proposed 2026/27 budget as recommended by the Finance & Works Committee and sets the penny rate for 2026/27 to 10.104 pence in the Pound Proposed: Mike Caswell Seconded: Stuart Kingham All in favour</p> <p>Predicted underspend to reallocate as below :</p> <p>The Parrett IDB approves the reallocation of £10,000 of the works reserve from the 2025/26 underspends to the Tintinhull Brook Penning Structure project. The Parrett IDB approves the reallocation of £35,834.20 of the works reserve from the 2025/26 underspends for future projects. Proposed: Mike Caswell Seconded: Colin Passmore All in favour</p>	<p>RESOLUTION 03</p> <p>RESOLUTION 04</p>
--------------------------	--	---

	<p>The Parrett IDB approves the creation of a ring-fenced reserve from free reserves of £25,000 to fund the purchase of a pump for use in the Thorney area. Proposed: Anthony Betty Seconded: Stuart Kingham All in favour</p> <p>Alan Bradford (AB) asked how long a pump lasts. MW explained it depends how much it is used. RK bought the last one second hand, but we need to futureproof.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outstanding Drainage Rates Position: £8208, which is a better position that this time last year. <p>MC asked if any in the total are the same people as prior years. MH explained that yes and this is the total outstanding. We do take to court and if a liability order is granted the bailiffs pursue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appointment of Internal Auditor (Appendix D) That Parrett IDB note the content of this Paper. <p>The chair thanked MH</p>	<p>RESOLUTION 05</p>
<p>5 Opera tions, Engineering and Environment Report</p>	<p>OPERATIONS: Matt Wall (MW) asked members to take his report as read. He showed a map of maintenance which is 89% complete. He hopes to complete Somerton, Cannington, Bridgwater and Pawlett when ground conditions improve. The Chair asked about Henley. MW will chase. DV advised they have started and the majority is done. The 2 year extension of contracts has been accepted by all and will roll over. Regarding routine maintenance from the highways. We have instructed contractors to proceed using short duration works and in compliance with Chapter 8 and submitted RAMS, with some being done already. Our preference is for the contractor to oversee and deliver all elements of the highway management to ensure controls are clear and to minimise the risk of an incident. CP asked about the cost implication. MW advised its approximately £600-£650 per day. The Axe Brue Board have asked for this work to be done at the same time as the other work, although this is not always logistically possible. It is more economical to pay one contractor to do as much as possible in the day. The approximate cost is approximately £5k per board. We need to manage the work safely and in compliance with legislation. IDS added that his view is firm that we must do it this way. We are the client and we have responsibilities to ensure the contractor implements effective safety measures. The Chair asked about the length of time between rhyne work and road work to join up as much as possible. IDS said we agree and will join up as much as possible. MW agreed he would prefer to do this and the contractor is happy to operate this way as his work has less interruptions and he can cover more meterage in a day than previously. SK queried additional tarmac an Dunball services and asked if it has impacted our watercourses. IDS advised there is a SUDS scheme regulating this. We have liaised with the project manager to minimise this. MW added that we have pulled out from maintenance which will be done by the landowner. It is in the report.</p> <p>Rob King advised members that for penning issues please contact him. 870 asset surveys have now been carried out. There has been lots of localised</p>	<p>ACTION P/20260127- 06</p>

flooding. Elsons Clyde pump is on the way today and Northmoor pumps by Thurs pm all to be installed and operated by EA.
JT advised one is also needed at New House. IDS explained we spoke to the EA last week to ask for additional pumps – to cover under AOB.
Our staff were out over the weekend clearing weedscreens and blockages. RK updated on New House desilting works. We will put a penstock in to alleviate silting and provide more control. It is a constant effort to de-silt, this new structure will improve this.
James Winslade (JW) clarified the silt his side is because the flap is stuck open. A penstock will stop silt coming in and provide a saving.
RK updated that Etsome Sluice near River Cary has been repaired and is now not leaking.

ENGINEERING

Caroline Murray (CM) asked members to take her report as read. She gave an overview of projects across all 3 boards including SRA ones, with the green ones in her report showing as completed. There are six new projects in the next financial year and a new PCSA will be needed.
She then showed pictures of the KSD banks project completed by the Board. There is still some work to tidy up when ground conditions allow, and we will hand the site back to the EA in October 26.

CM then showed the planned WID dredging locations. A new pontoon for access and mooring is being installed this year.

The Chair asked about experimental flailing following dredging from spring onwards to see if reeds removed would negate the build-up of silt. CM doesn't think this happened.

Rebecca suggested looking at trees in the WID area in the same vein.

Phil Brewin (PB) suggested looking where we have cleared the banks already. This would also support removing the trees.

JT asked what happens to the silt and how far it moves. IDS explained it disperses and is carried way downstream. PB confirmed we used an industry specialist scientist in 2018 looking at properties for silt. The WID produces a material with stable properties and generates a cloud which doesn't settle. The tide will always bring some back. We use winter flows to transport the silt back to sea.

RH noted there is a build up after the WID and asked if there is evidence that agitation is building up at Andersea. IDS explained that opening the downstream channel would increase tidal ingress and increase flood risk, but the tidal barrier will help once it is completed so this may be an option in future.

Roger Barrington (RB) asked about the barrier. He understands it will only be used periodically. If the door is not down at every high tide it will not stop the silt. IDS agreed but advised it will impact the highest tides. It will not be closed to stop silt which will be an ongoing challenge. This was a point the IDB expressed strongly when the BTB was being promoted but EA currently only plan to close the barrier on the very highest tides of the year. As climate change progresses however this will become more frequent and may benefit with reduced silt importation to the river from the estuary as operational frequency increases.

CM noted that Van Oord have built a new vessel to be deployed next year while doing our work. It will be more efficient and easier to manipulate.

RH asked if the re designed barrier will restrict the flow. CM explained it will be lower but not narrower.

Mike Caswell left at 15.57

ACTION
P/20260127-
07

	<p>CM updated that the Morrisons access track is almost complete which will improve our access and safety while making access to the outfall structure</p> <p>Sites for 2 eel passes have been identified.</p> <p>Peat projects are all complete bar one which requires a road closure. We may ask for an extension to the deadline to extend funding.</p> <p>She then provided an update in this year's programme.</p> <p>Starsland Clyse is in progress.</p> <p>IDS noted a video this morning of Bryers Sluice working effectively.</p> <p>DEVELOPMENT CONTROL</p> <p>Virginie Martin (VM) provided an update on: Old Rhyne West Lyng where willow trees had been planted on the bank. We advised the owner they needed to be removed in January. Most have now died in the drought, the rest are currently flooded so cannot be removed. Brickyard Rhyne, Stretcholt is mostly complete and we can now maintain. However there is still a section remaining so we need to serve notice. Next steps: 1. To serve notice for the removal of cricket bat willows 2. The Board instruct Wilkin Chapman Rollits to serve notice for the removal of the fence at Brickyard Rhyne, Stretcholt Will Barnard (WB) noted that this is a big achievement, although not completed. VM advised she will be recruiting for enforcement resource. WB felt that others may look at planting, and suggested advising in the Newsletter to advise no trees within 9m of the watercourse.</p> <p>VM then provided an update on Steart: officers are in conversation and speak to Bristol Ports Team regularly. They are modelling impact and mitigation. PB and VM have met with the modellers and have raised concerns. PB has agreed a further meeting with IDS and CP to progress this asap.</p> <p>JW asked if VM can look at Fordgate sewage works as more water than normal is entering the Park Brook.</p> <p>IDS noted that land on the other side of the canal is not flooded in the way the have done in the past. Those watercourses were maintained/improved recently and will pass flood water downstream more effectively and may disadvantage people in that ares. This further supports concerns about uncoordinated maintenance where EA are passing responsibility to Riparian Owners..</p> <p>CP noted that Main River outfalls were meant to be cleared (Steart) and none are currently working. PB advised he believes the flap is being replaced but needs a coherent plan as the developer is resisting this. Officers to ask the EA to clear them. IDS noted the ongoing problem with outfalls not working.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENT</p> <p>Phil Brewin (PB) asked members to take his report as read.</p>	<p>ACTION P/20260127-08</p> <p>ACTION P/20260127-09</p>
--	---	---

	<p>He showed telemetry progress in all board areas. Some equipment is outdated and needs maintenance and repair. One DAS has been assisting with this. Data from Northmoor is showing water levels rising at 10ml per hour. Also working at Glastonbury Lake Village where sensors have been installed. Highlighted summer conditions cause water tables to fall which can be problematic for Peat and sub terranean archaeology.</p> <p>PB is working with ADA and Defra for WLMP's to be updated We would like a new template and have met locally with EA who would like to work with us and have some funding.</p> <p>Peat funding from Defra may be available for implementation next year and may help with capacity building.</p> <p>WB noted that what implementation means is still up for discussion.</p> <p>PB updated that beavers are now more prevalent in Somerset, and we are contributing to a national discussion about their presence and the problems they are causing</p> <p>Badger licences have been renewed this year. Responsibilities and protocols are in place to remain compliant.</p> <p>JW noted 7 badger setts on the River Tone banks which are now full of water. The EA have been notified.</p> <p>The Chair asked about Landscape Recovery projects and noted that the Govt have announced they can withdraw funding with 12 months notice.</p> <p>Donna is unsure where negotiations are but will find out.</p> <p>Will noted that would make projects pointless as nobody would sign up. He feels NT and WT will push back.</p> <p>Chair asked if the badger licence will be extended to beavers following concerns in Kent and the expense in repairing damage.</p> <p>PB advised that he has completed beaver training.</p>	
<p>6 Any Other Business.</p>	<p>Flooding update:</p> <p>The Chair feels that in their response to the current flooding the EA has shut the door once the horse has bolted.</p> <p>IDB advised we asked last week for additional pumps to be deployed at high risk Pumping Stations but that work has only just been started and not at all locations necessary. Additional pumps are once again being deployed too late. He thinks we should be more involved in deciding to action the trigger points as we have a better local understanding of the systems in many places. We are told that flooding near Taunton, Blackbrook and Wellington is the worst since the 60's. Now is a good time to start fundraising for a decent pumping station at Dunball.</p> <p>James Winslade provided a summary: he noted there have been 3 weeks of rain prior to this week. Last Weds electricity poles were being replaced at North Moor, for which the EA sanctioned pumps being switched off. He feels that this resulted in Northmoor flooding as well as Park Brook and Currymoor. This leaves us on the back foot to start: water has started coming down the Lyng Cutting railway line and the new spillway turf is coming up due to the volume of water. There are major issues at Fordgate with houses flooding which didn't in 2014. The tilting weir at Parkbrook may be restricting flow to some extent.. The Currymoor additional pump was only put in this week once the water was already there. The EA had said no additional pumps at Saltmoor, however they are now going in tomorrow. James spoke to Matthew Bere at his new solar farm who have offered to fund pumping as they need permanent not temporary structures. The running costs of temporary pumping are enormous and more than permanent pumps. Trigger points are not being used effectively. The water has risen quickly, much more so than in 2014. There is a yellow weather warning for Thurs. Minimum pumping requirements are not being met and it is all too slow. Pumps installed are not running as the generators are not</p>	

there and need a road closure. JW felt more is coming down the railway cutting which is not being monitored. There is not enough capacity. The Chair noted that at each flood event, nothing changes and feels resources are badly managed at EA. We asked for additional pumps to be installed at the onset of the bad weather.

IDS explained that the EA pumps bought in previously are now a national asset so cannot be installed permanently at Northmoor.

Julian Taylor (JT) complimented James on his explanation. He is very worried about rising water. It is virtually impossible for anyone local to speak to anyone at the EA and there is no information available. In addition we need to think about water coming along the Northmoor main drain which is causing back flow onto the moor. EA say that Vineys Bridge built decades ago by the IDB now belongs to the landowner.

JW added that the bridge holds 2m³ per second back which is as much as 2 pumps.

JT feels the pumping station is not fit for purpose, and needs 20 additional pumps as well as a pump at Elsons Clyse and New House. The EA are saying it is a problem from new development, they are meeting on Fri pm after 2 weeks continuous rain with more forecast. He feels it is incompetence and we need the minister here now to see what is happening. The Chair feels the EA talk about capacity in rivers but do nothing about it. Ian Withers (EA area Director) said on tv that Currymoor is empty when this is not the case. There is not enough money for pumping and the EA staff have all gone who could assemble pumps at short notice. All resource has gone to pollution.

RH wondered if the late reaction is due to lack of funding to try and get more. DV asked why trigger points are set in stone. New building means they should be reviewed.

JW noted every flood is different. Trigger points were set from the last flood but this is different.

CP noted the cost of power which has risen and felt it is a bigger issue than cost of building pumps.

RB showed a photo of the spillway where there are no pumps. The moor is higher than the river and the spillways are working in reverse.

JW noted there is capacity on the moor as long as the pumps then come in. It is already too late.

RB advised the Tone took 4 days to fill. It is now full already, more building is taking place and Reform are looking to abolish the SRA. It is a perfect storm.

JW asked if we can invest in new infrastructure at Dunball and Elsons. The Chair feels problems come from Taunton and Yeovil not Bridgwater and a community infrastructure levy is required to contribute to the necessary improvements.

Rob King gave an update on pumping: Elsons installation is starting on Thurs. At Northmoor 6 pumps are installed. Saltmoor expected Thurs lunchtime. Nothing heard about New House.

VM advised the EA were looking at a strategy for Taunton reservoir. Holding water upstream would help. Sustainable drainage is not working. Cost was the issue and multiple watercourses.

The Chair brought the discussion to a close. On the other side of the event we need to meet with the EA to review trigger points and ensure pumps are ready at the onset of winter,

ACTION
P/20260127-
10

	<p>JT would like ADA to invite the minister down to look. Sarah Dyke has invited her. He would also like an open meeting to meet residents and farmers.</p> <p>Chair feels that as we feel it is as bad as 2014 we should write and invite ADA and the minister to come immediately so we can make them aware. If Moorland and surrounding areas don't flood it will be down to the dredging and KSD work the IDB have carried out via SRA funding.</p> <p>There is a meeting with Gideon Amos MP who has requested to meet with IDB re flood risk. 6th feb 11.30-12.30 (Chair & vice-chair, JW, RB, JT).</p>	<p>ACTION P/20260127-11</p>
<p>7 Date of Next Meeting.</p>	<p>Dates of Next Meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full Board Meeting Tues 14 April, 10.30. Apologies AB and HB due to Planning North Committee. <p>The Chair thanked members for their attendance Meeting ended at 17.03</p>	

Annex:

A. Parrett Board – Table of Outstanding Actions

CHAIR..... DATE

PARRETT BOARD – TABLE OF OUTSTANDING ACTIONS

Action Number:	Action:	Actionee:
P/20260127-01	Follow up on Task & Finish resolution following ADA Chairs meeting	IDS
P/20260127-02	Email follow up with James Divall/Neil Ogilvie re attenuation ponds/maintenance at Morrisons/Dunster	IDS
P/20260127-03	Check EA letter received by David Vigar	IDS
P/20260127-04	Share response to EA consultation with Chairs	IDS
P/20260127-05	Submit expression of interest for additional SRA funding	CM
P/20260127-06	Check status of maintenance at Henley	MW
P/20260127-07	Look at viability of experimental flailing following WID to negate build up of silt	PB/CM
P/20260127-08	Investigate at Fordgate sewage works as more water than normal.	VM
P/20260127-09	Ask the EA to clear the outfalls/flaps at Fordgate	PB/IDS
P/20260127-10	Meet with EA to discuss/review trigger points	IDS/MW/RK
P/20260127-11	Write to invite ADA/Emma Hardy to visit	IDS